International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017106

A. CLASSIFIC Int.Cl ⁷	CATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C01B39/46, B01J29/70, C07D201	/04, C07D223/10		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
B. FIELDS SE.	ARCHED			
	nentation searched (classification system followed by cla			
Int.CI	C01B33/20-39/54, B01J21/00-38	5 /		
	•	•	•	
Decomentation s	searched other than minimum documentation to the exter	of that such documents are included in the	e fields searched	
	Shinan Koho 1922–1996 To:		1994-2005	
	ullet	tsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho	1996-2005	
	ease consulted during the international search (name of des (JOIS), CA (STN)	lata base and, where practicable, search to	erms used)	
			•	
C. DOCUMEN	ITS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT .			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
P,X	JP 2004-175661 A (National I:	nstitute of	1-8	
	Advanced Industrial Science a	nd Technology		
	(AIST)),	•		
	24 June, 2004 (24.06.04), Claims 1 to 9	•		
	(Family: none)			
	TD 2004 220044 B (N-+;) T	natituta af	9-43	
E, X	JP 2004-339044 A (National I Advanced Industrial Science a			
	(AIST)),	11.0 10011101051		
	02 December, 2004 (02.12.04),			
	Claims 1 to 12			
	(Family: none)			
	<u>,</u>		·	
		•		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.				
1	gories of cited documents: lefining the general state of the art which is not considered	"T" later document published after the indate and not in conflict with the appli-	ternational filing date or priority cation but cited to understand	
to be of particular relevance		the principle or theory underlying the	invention	
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date		"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be cons	claimed invention cannot be idered to involve an inventive	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other		step when the document is taken alon		
special reas	on (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is	
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than		combined with one or more other such being obvious to a person skilled in the		
	date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent	family	
Date of the actus	al completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	urch report	
07 February, 2005 (07.02.05)		22 February, 2005		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/		Authorized officer		
	se Patent Office		•	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.		
Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)				

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017106

Caracani*). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Category* X	JP 7-291620 A (Mizusawa Industrial Chemicals,	9,12-14,22
	Ltd.), 07 November, 1995 (07.11.95), Claim 5; Par. Nos. [0002], [0017] (Family: none)	1-43
A .	JP 2003-73115 A (National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)), 12 March, 2003 (12.03.03), Claims 1 to 10 (Family: none)	
•		•
•		•
		•
•		
		•
		·
		•
	·	

Form PCT/JSA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/JP2004/017106.

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Claims 1-8, 10, 11, 15-21, 24, 25, 27-33 and 39 relate to a crystalline layered compound having a specific base structure and a powder x-ray diffraction pattern defined in claim 1; a zeolite produced from such a crystalline layered compound or a method for producing an ε-caprolactam using such a zeolite. Claims 9, 12-14, 22, 23, 26, 34-38 and 40-43 relate to a zeolite having a chemical composition or an abstract geometrical crystal structure defined in claim 9 or claim 23 or a method for producing an ε-caprolactam using such a zeolite. Consequently, they are not considered to be one invention or a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. (Continued to extra sheet) 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No. PCT/JP2004/017106

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet (2)

(Since the latter invention does not descriptively include the technical features derived from using the specific crystalline layered compound defined in claim 1 (namely, specific microstructures such as a powder x-ray diffraction pattern), claims 1-43 cannot be considered to have a same technical feature.)